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Abstract
ROSEA is also a Tcl package that implements a data and execution domain that is suitable as the target platform for translating executable software models into programs using Tcl as the implementation language. Translation is accomplished by capturing the characteristics of the software model using a domain specific language from which the data structures used by the run time component are built. This paper presents the design and implementation of ROSEA showing how Executable UML model semantics are mapped to Tcl language constructs. Model classes are held in relation variables and class relationships are enforced as referential integrity constraints between the relation variables. Dynamics are implemented as Moore type finite state machines. Processing is event driven and transactional in nature with the state of the program data being rolled back if integrity checks fail.

Introduction
ROSEA is an acronym for Relation Oriented Software Execution Architecture. ROSEA is a Tcl package written entirely in Tcl script but is heavily dependent upon TclRAL, a "C" based Tcl extension that implements the relational algebra.

The purpose of ROSEA is to provide an implementation target for translating executable models of software into running programs using Tcl as the implementation language. In this paper we present two distinct views of the ROSEA package. First, ROSEA is discussed from a modeling / translating point of view. From this perspective, ROSEA provides a means for model execution semantics to be mapped onto Tcl language constructs. Secondly, ROSEA is discussed from a Tcl language point of view. From that perspective, ROSEA is an object system based on namespace ensembles with object data stored as relation variables.

The Modeling View of ROSEA
The diagram below shows a general outline of a software development workflow that is accomplished by translation.
Requirements are analyzed to create Executable Models. A software system is divided into Domains. A domain encapsulates a cohesive subject matter. A domain is then translated into the ROSEA configuration DSL (Domain Specific Language). The domain configuration is used to generate data that drives the run time execution of the domain. Each domain is supplied with an initial domain population of class instances. By combining the translated domain with its initial population and the ROSEA package, a running Tcl program is obtained.

Space considerations do now allow us to discuss in this paper how requirements are gathered and then analyzed to yield executable models. ROSEA is concerned with only those items in the grayed out boxes in the above diagram, i.e. ROSEA is concerned only with translating model logic into a Tcl implementation.

We insist that the models must be executable if we are to translate them and to that end we insist that models conform to the semantics of Executable UML. Executable UML uses a well defined subset of UML that has rigorous execution semantics. There are several good books on Executable UML\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\) and readers are encouraged to consult at least one of them.

From the modeling perspective, ROSEA provides an implementation of the Executable UML semantics using Tcl as the implementation language. The ROSEA package consists of three principle components:

1. A domain specific language that is used to encode the model graphic. The DSL is consists of a set of Tcl commands and so the DSL would be classified as an internal DSL. The DSL provides commands to define classes, attributes and relationships and we shall see examples below.

---


A set of run time procedures that activities in the model may invoke to access data, navigate relationships and signal state machine events. The function of the run time procedures is tailored to match the semantics implied by the executable models.

A generator that translates the configuration information provided by the DSL into the data structures used by the run time. The run time is completely data driven. The exact behavior, in modeling terms, that a domain exhibits depends upon the data values supplied to the run time code. For example, classes that exhibit state behavior supply a state transition table to the run time code. The behavior of the class depends upon the sequence of events received by a class instance dispatched according to the specifications in the state transition table.

Examples of Translating Data

To get a sense of the ROSEA domain configuration DSL, we will show an example of how to translate a model using ROSEA. The example we show here is fully worked out in the literate program document that is part of the ROSEA distribution. In this paper, we will translate only fragments of the model. Consider the following fragment of a class diagram for a washing machine control domain. This domain controls a primitive automatic clothes washing machine.

This class model fragment states, roughly, that there exists a Washing Machine class that is identified by a Machine ID attribute and is characterized by a Cycle Type attribute. There is also a Washing Cycle class that is identified by a Cycle Type attribute and characterized by a number of attributes that hold water temperatures, durations and speeds. There also a relationship, R4, between Washing Machine instances and Washing Cycle instances. The semantics of the relationship is stated by the verb phrases on the class model graphic. A Washing Machine operates according to exactly one Washing Cycle and each Washing Cycle prescribes the operation of zero or more Washing Machines.

As we begin to translate this fragment, recall that models are organized into domains and the DSL has a domain command. The domain command supplies a name for the domain and a script which defines the characteristics of the domain.
domain wmctrl {
    # Domain definition for the Washing Machine Control Domain
    #  
}

The script for the domain command is an ordinary Tcl script, but is evaluated in a context where specific commands may be invoked to configure the domain. In the remaining portion of the example, we will assume that the commands shown are invoked inside the script portion of a domain command.

We start with the **Washing Cycle** class, defined as follows:

class WashingCycle {
    attribute CycleType string -id 1
    attribute WashWaterTemp string
    attribute RinseWaterTemp string
    attribute WashDuration int
    attribute RinseDuration int
    attribute SpinDuration int
    attribute AgitationSpeed string
    attribute SpinSpeed string
}

The class command gives a name to the class and takes a script that defines the properties of the class. Class attributes are defined by invoking the attribute command, which takes a name for the attribute and a Tcl data type. It may seem unusual to specify a data type for a language like Tcl, in which **everything is a string** is such a firmly held principle. This is an integrity check on the values that may be assigned to an attribute. For example, the WashDuration attribute is declared as an int. This shows the intent to store numbers in the attribute and potentially to perform arithmetic operations on the attribute values. Consequently, the WashDuration attribute is not allowed to be set to a value that cannot reasonably be converted into an integer (by the usual Tcl rules). This prevents attributes being set to values that have no possibility of being correct. More detailed control of subsets of integer values is also available. Of course, string is the universal Tcl type and any attribute whose type is declared as string can be set to anything.

All classes must have one or more identifiers. An identifier consists of one or more attributes whose values must be unique. For the case of Washing Cycle, there is one identifier and that identifier consists on only one attribute, as indicated by the -id 1 option on the CycleType attribute\(^4\). This option corresponds to the {I} notation on the model graphic.

---

\(^4\) If there were multiple attributes in the first identifier, then the -id 1 option would be given for each attribute in the identifier.
The two classes in the model also participate in an association named, R4. Associations define the way that class instances are related to each other. The model graphic indicates the multiplicity and conditionality of the relationship using standard UML annotations and indicates the semantics of the relationship using verb phrases. The association command captures the name of the association, the participating classes and the multiplicity and conditionality.

```
association R4 WashingMachine 0..*--1 WashingCycle
```

There is a direct correspondence between the association command arguments and the graphical notion for the association.

Associations are realized by having attributes of one class refer to identifying attributes of another class. By "refer to," we mean that the value of the referring attribute is equal to the value of the referenced identifying attribute. Readers familiar with relational database management systems will recognize this concept as similar to a foreign key constraint found in SQL. The Cycle Type attribute in the Washing Machine class is annotated with, \{R4\}, to indicate its role in realizing the R4 relationship. This shows up in the definition of the WashingMachine class shown below.

```
class WashingMachine {
  attribute MachineID string -id 1
  attribute CycleType string
  reference R4 WashingCycle -link CycleType

  # Other aspects of the Washing Machine class
  # ...
}
```

The reference command states that the WashingMachine class realizes the association, R4, to the WashingCycle class by linking the value of the CycleType attribute in WashingMachine to be the same value as the CycleType attribute for some instance in the WashingCycle class. The ROSEA run time code insures that this invariant is not violated and any attempt to do so results in the state of the program being rolled back to a valid set of values.

**Examples of Translating State Models**

Executable models sequence and coordinate processing by using state models. Below is a state model diagram for the Washing Machine class.
This diagram represents graphically how the washing machine washes clothes by progressing through a series of states filling the clothes tub with water, washing, draining, rinsing and spinning the tub to obtain clean clothes. The transitions from one state to another are driven by the events as shown on the labels of the arrows directed from one state to another. We must be careful to distinguish state model events from Tcl events. State model events are implemented using Tcl events but, in this context, we are referring to the model level concept of an event to a state model.

This is a Moore type state model, i.e. each state is associated with an activity that is executed upon entry into the state. The initial state, Stopped, is shown with the grayed out background. The ROSEA configuration DSL supports directly declaring a state model. This is done with the statemodel command that is part of a class definition. Below the WashingMachine class is shown with its state model definition.
class WashingMachine {
    attribute MachineID string -id 1
    attribute CycleType string
    reference R4 WashingCycle -link CycleType

    statemodel {
        initialstate Stopped

        state Stopped {} {
            # Stop spinning -- wash complete.
        }
        transition Stopped - Start -> FillingToWash
        state FillingToWash {} {
            # Fill the tub with wash water.
        }
        transition FillingToWash - Full -> Washing
        state Washing {} {
            # Agitate the tub to wash.
        }
        transition Washing - Done -> DrainingWash
        state DrainingWash {} {
            # Stop washing and drain
            # the dirty wash water.
        }
        transition DrainingWash - Empty -> FillingToRinse
        state FillingToRinse {} {
            # Fill the tub with rinse water.
        }
        transition FillingToRinse - Full -> Rinsing
        state Rinsing {} {
            # Agitate the tub to rinse.
        }
        transition Rinsing - Done -> DrainingRinse
        state DrainingRinse {} {
            # Stop rinsing and drain
            # the rinse water.
        }
        transition DrainingRinse - Empty -> Spinning
        state Spinning {} {
            # Spin out excess water.
        }
        transition Spinning - Done -> Stopped
    }
}
The state command specifies the name of the state, any arguments to the state and the Tcl script to be executed when the state is entered. The interface to the state command is the same as the proc command\textsuperscript{5}. We do not show any of the processing above, only the structural aspects of the state model. We will show the processing for one state below.

The transition command defines which new state is entered when the state machine is in some starting state and an event is received. Transitions and states may be defined in any order. The order we have presented above emphasizes the state with its outgoing transitions and the simple circular nature of this particular state model.

Examples of Translating State Activities

Each state has an activity associated with it that is a Tcl script which is run when the state is entered. ROSEA provides a number of commands that state activities may invoke to accomplish required model level actions. Since the state activity is also a Tcl script, it has access to all the functionality provided by the Tcl language itself. We will not detail all the model level actions a state activity may perform, but two common ones are to navigate a relationship and to signal an event. The graphic below shows a portion of the state model for the Washing Machine class, complete with the action specification of what happens when the state is entered. The Washing state of the Washing Machine class is entered by receiving the Full event and remains in that state until the Done event is received. The processing is expressed in an action language that describes what the state activity must accomplish.

\textsuperscript{5} State activities are translated into Tcl proc's.
This action language description of the processing is translated into Tcl as follows:

```tcl
state Washing {} {
    # Agitate the tub to wash.
    # select one ct related by self->R1[CT]
    set ct [findRelated $self ^R1]
    # signal Agitate to ct
    signal $ct Agitate
    # select one wc related by self->R4[WC]
    set wc [findRelated $self R4]
    # signal Done to self at wc.WashDuration
    delaysignal [readAttribute $wc WashDuration] $self Done
}
```

Each state activity has an implicitly defined variable named `self`. This variable holds a reference to the particular class instance for which the state activity is executing. The `findRelated` command traverses a relationship and finds all the class instances that are related. The `signal` command signals an event to a set of class instances. The `delaysignal` command signals an event at a given time in the future. The `readAttribute` command obtains the values of one or more attributes given a reference to a class instance. For the Washing state activity, we have translated the action language into Tcl code in its most straightforward way. The code could be refined further to remove some, strictly speaking, unnecessary variable assignments by using common
Tcl command nesting.

For the Washing state, the related Clothes Tub instance\(^6\) is signaled with the Agitate event to start the tub agitating the clothes. The agitation will continue for the length of time specified in the related Washing Cycle instance as given by the Wash Duration attribute. From the fragment of the model graphic we saw above, we know that traversing relationship, R4, will yield exactly one related instance of Washing Cycle because of the multiplicity and conditionality of the R4 relationship on the Washing Cycle side (i.e. that side is marked as 1). The Done event is signaled to ourselves when the amount of time held in the Wash Duration attribute has elapsed. When the Done event is received, the instance transitions to another state and performs the processing required to stop washing and continue the other steps of obtaining clean clothes.

Run Time Processing

Interacting state machines play an important role in sequencing and coordinating the processing of a domain. Events are signaled to class instances and their state machine receives the events, transitioning to a new state and executing the activity associated with that new state\(^7\). ROSEA uses the Tcl event loop to implement state machine events and state activities are executed as an after command callback.

Typically, execution unfolds when an event is delivered to a domain from an outside source and a cascade of state activities are run as a result of the state transition triggered by the event. State machines signal other state machines which causes the thread of control to continue as the signaled events are received by class instances. Eventually, the result of the original event is fully realized, state machines stop signaling further events and the domain waits to receive the next external stimulus. ROSEA executes the thread of control as a transaction on the underlying data model. At the end of the transaction, all the integrity constraints represented in the class model as relationships are checked. If the data model is not consistent, an error is thrown and the data is rolled back to its previous set of values. In this way, the domain sequences from one consistent data state to another as a result of executing threads of control started by events arriving from the environment of the domain. The transaction associated with a thread of control is transparent to the executing domain. ROSEA manages the transaction boundaries and insures the domain sequences in time from one consistent set of data values to another.

The Tcl View of ROSEA

The purpose of the ROSEA package is to provide a convenient means to implement executable model semantics in the Tcl language. We have seen how an executable model of a domain may be expressed in the domain specific language provided by ROSEA. In this section, we discuss some of the details of how model semantics are

---

\(^6\) The Clothes Tub class and the R1 association do not appear on the class model fragment shown above but are part of the complete example.

\(^7\) The new state may be the same as the current state and, if so, the state activity is executed again.
mapped onto Tcl language constructs. Regardless of the view of the program provided by the ROSEA DSL, we are building Tcl programs and DSL perspective must be mapped onto Tcl language constructs.

From the Tcl perspective, ROSEA is an extension that provides an object system. However, the object system provided by ROSEA is based on the relational model of data rather than the more conventional concepts of type hierarchy and run time polymorphic function dispatch. Since version 8.6, Tcl has had core support for object orientation. The core has basic object commands intended to provide the foundation upon which full featured object systems may be built, e.g. IncrTcl. In previous releases, Tcl supported the concept of namespace ensembles. A namespace ensemble provides the means to map subcommand names onto command prefixes. Using this, one may construct object oriented commands in Tcl via namespace ensembles. ROSEA uses namespace ensembles extensively. Each domain is realized as a namespace ensemble command for its domain operations and has a namespace defined whose name matches that of the domain. In the domain namespace, each class of the domain has an ensemble command with subcommands being defined for all the class based operations.

For example, the `wmctrl` domain is realized as an ensemble command named `::wmctrl` and a `::wmctrl` namespace is created. In the `::wmctrl` namespace, a `::wmctrl::WashingMachine` ensemble command is created along with ensemble commands for all the classes in the domain.

The namespace ensemble approach can provide commands that follow the typical Tcl object oriented approach, but we still must deal with how to store instance data. One approach for simple object systems using namespace ensembles is to store the instance data in a dictionary held in a namespace variable. In this strategy, the dictionary key is some identifier of the instance and the dictionary value holds the instance data. That instance data can then be yet another dictionary with instance attribute names as the keys of the nested dictionary.

In ROSEA, TclRAL is used as the data storage mechanism. TclRAL is a “C” based extension that provides native Tcl data types for relation and tuple values. In addition, TclRAL provides a relation variable concept along with relation variable referential constraints. ROSEA maps each model class to a TclRAL relvar and class instances are represented as tuples of the relation value stored in the relvar. Each model level association or generalization relationship is mapped to a TclRAL relvar constraint.

Fundamentally, the executable models are based on relational data model concepts and TclRAL was designed specifically to match the semantics of executable models. Much of the underlying ability of ROSEA to navigate relationships and insure data integrity derives directly from the use of TclRAL to store class data. This includes the transactional nature of the thread of control discussed above.

---

8 By default domains are placed in the global namespace, but may be placed in any desired namespace. We’ll use the global namespace here for simplicity.
The diagram below shows how the Tcl components of a domain are organized.

It should be noted and readers may have recognized that there is no concept of inheritance in the object system presented by ROSEA. There is no substantial notion of inheritance in the relational model of data and the emphasis here is on the deep ties that the relational view has to predicate logic and set theory. Executable class models do have the notion of a generalization relationship, but this actually represents a disjoint union\(^9\) of the class instances rather than an inheritance of data type structure. For some, the fact that the object orientation provided by ROSEA is not based on type hierarchy will be disconcerting. However, object systems based on concepts other than

\(^9\) The disjoint union being complementary to the Cartesian product which is the conceptual basis for associations.
type hierarchy can be useful in some contexts, e.g. the snit object system is based heavily on delegation concepts.

Conclusions
ROSEA provides a means to translate executable software models into a Tcl program. It accomplishes this by using a domain specific language to define the configuration of a translated model. The DSL statements have a direct correspondence with the model graphic. Model level concepts are mapped onto Tcl language constructs by the generator and run time components. The primary organization of the translation is as an object system based on namespace ensembles for the commands and using TclRAL for data storage. The use of TclRAL is significant as it provides all the relational algebraic operations for operating on instance data as well as the integrity constraints implied by the class model relationships.

Resources
The ROSEA package is freely available and licensed under the same license as Tcl itself. The source code is available in a fossil repository located at:
http://repos.modelrealization.com/cgi-bin/fossil/mrtools
This repository is mirrored to:
http://chiselapp.com/user/mangoa01/repository/mrtools

The ROSEA package was written in the literate programming style. The literate program document contains all of the design, code, test cases and the example fragment used here is fully worked out. There is also reference manual documentation available.